## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

DDMS Properties,	)
Complainant,	)
v.	) File No. AC-2021-0037
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District,	)
Respondent.	)

## NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY AND NOTICE THAT COMPLAINT MAY BE DISMISSED

Issue Date: August 19, 2020

On August 13, 2020, DDMS Properties and David M. Spotanski, filed a complaint with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) against the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (MSD). That complaint is deficient in two respects.

First, Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-2.070(4)(G) requires that a complaint describe the Commission's jurisdiction over the subject matter of the complaint. The complaint is deficient in that it does not state what jurisdiction the Commission has over the allegations in the complaint. More specifically, it does not describe what jurisdiction the Commission has over MSD. MSD was organized under Article VI, Section 30(a) of the Missouri Constitution as a sewer district, a political subdivision of the state of Missouri, and is not a "sewer company" as that term is defined in Section 386.020, RSMo. The Commission is created by statute and has only the powers granted to it by the legislature. Those powers do not include authority to hear complaints against MSD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 249.645, RSMo 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State ex rel. United Rys Co. of St. Louis v. Public Service Commission of Missouri, 270 Mo. 229 (1917).

Section (7) of Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-2.070 states that, after notice, the Commission may on its own motion "dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted or failure to comply with any provision of these rules or an order of the commission . . . ." Because the Commission has not been granted jurisdiction to hear complaints against MSD,<sup>3</sup> the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Thus, the Commission will dismiss this complaint unless DDMS Properties or David M. Spotanski can show that the Commission has jurisdiction to hear this complaint.

The second deficiency concerns the representation of DDMS Properties. DDMS Properties is identified on the envelope accompanying the complaint as a limited liability company. Missouri statute Section 484.020, RSMo 2016, restricts the practice of law to licensed attorneys. Consequently, Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-2.040(5), requires pleadings filed with the Commission to be signed by an attorney authorized to practice law in Missouri unless the entity signing the pleading is a natural person acting solely on his or her own behalf and representing only him or herself.<sup>4</sup> The complaint is signed by Mr. Spotanski, but is not signed on behalf of DDMS Properties by anyone identified as a Missouri-licensed attorney. Before DDMS Properties may participate in the filing of a complaint with the Commission, it must correct this deficiency by having an attorney authorized to practice law in Missouri sign the complaint. If the deficiency is not corrected by the date set out below, the Commission will dismiss DDMS Properties as a party to this matter.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> City of Columbia v. State Public Service Commission, 43 S.W. 2d 813 (1931).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See also *Clark v. Austin*, 340 Mo 467, 101 S.W. 2d 977 (Mo 1937); *Reed v. Labor and Indus. Relations Com'n*, 789 S.W.2d 19 (Mo 1990).

Therefore, the Commission provides this notice that the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted unless DDMS Properties or Mr. Spotanski show the Commission that it has jurisdiction to hear this complaint. Further, DDMS Properties' filing is deficient and the Commission will dismiss DDMS Properties as a party if it does not correct the lack of an authorized attorney signature. The Commission will allow until September 27, 2020, for DDMS Properties or Mr. Spotanski to correct the deficiency and show how the Commission has jurisdiction over MSD. If no response is filed by that time correcting the deficiency, or the Commission determines it does not have jurisdiction after reviewing a response, the Commission will dismiss the complaint and close the file.



BY THE COMMISSION

Morris L. Woodruff

Secretary

Nancy Dippell, Senior Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2016.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2020.